IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 1270 of 2004 (MB)

1. Pawan s/o Pahel singh
2. Suresh Pal s/o Rafal Singh,

Both R/o Village Karanpur,
Police Station – Khanpur,
District Haridwar ….. Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal
   through Superintendent of Police,
   Haridwar, District Haridwar
2. Station Officer,
   Police Station, Khanpur,
   District Haridwar
3. Mahmood s/o Fakkar,
   R/o Village – Karanpur,
   Police Station – Khanpur,
   District Haridwar …. Respondents.

Sri Rajendra Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.
Sri H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.
None for respondent no.3.

JUDGMENT

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta,
Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Sri Rajendra Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Sri H.C. Pande, Addl. Government Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.

None for respondent no.3.

2. Sri H.C. Pande, the learned Addl. Government Advocate submits that the police after the completion of the investigation has filed charge-sheet in the case.

3. Sri Rajendra Singh, the learned counsel for the petitioners, on the other hand, submits that the petitioners have informed him that as the police did not find any case worth filing charge-sheet against the

petitioners, final report has been filed so far as these petitioners are concerned.

4. In any event, the petition is liable to be dismissed as infructuous.

5. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed as infructuous.

(Prafulla C. Pant, J.) 22.06.2006

(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.) 22.06.2006

A